RESEARCH

Open Access



Novel strategies for vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilm control: bacteriophage (vB_EfaS_ZC1), propolis, and their combined effects in an ex vivo endodontic model

Toka A. Hakim¹, Bishoy Maher Zaki^{2,3}, Dalia A. Mohamed^{4,5}, Bob Blasdel⁶, Mohamed A. Gad¹, Mohamed S. Fayez¹ and Ayman El-Shibiny^{1,7*}

Abstract

Background Endodontic treatment failures are predominantly attributed to *Enterococcus faecalis* (*E. faecalis*) infection, a Gram-positive coccus. *E. faecalis* forms biofilms, resist multiple antibiotics, and can withstand endodontic disinfection protocols. Vancomycin-resistant strains, in particular, are challenging to treat and are associated with serious medical complications.

Methods A novel phage, vB_EfaS_ZC1, was isolated and characterized. Its lytic activity against *E. faecalis* was assessed in vitro through time-killing and biofilm assays. The phage's stability under various conditions was determined. Genomic analysis was conducted to characterize the phage and its virulence. The phage, propolis, and their combination were evaluated as an intracanal irrigation solution against a 4-week *E. faecalis* mature biofilm, using an ex vivo infected human dentin model. The antibiofilm activity was analyzed using a colony-forming unit assay, field emission scanning electron microscopy, and confocal laser scanning microscopy.

Results The isolated phage, vB_EfaS_ZC1, a siphovirus with prolate capsid, exhibited strong lytic activity against Vancomycin-resistant strains. In vitro assays indicated its effectiveness in inhibiting planktonic growth and disrupting mature biofilms. The phage remained stable under wide range of temperatures (– 80 to 60 °C), tolerated pH levels from 4 to 11; however the phage viability significantly reduced after UV exposure. Genomic analysis strongly suggests the phage's virulence and suitability for therapeutic applications; neither lysogeny markers nor antibiotic resistance markers were identified. Phylogenetic analysis clustered vB_EfaS_ZC1 within the genus *Saphexavirus*. The phage, both alone and in combination with propolis, demonstrated potent antibiofilm effects compared to conventional root canal irrigation.

Conclusion Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 demonstrates a promising therapy, either individually or in combination with propolis, for addressing challenging endodontic infections caused by *E. faecalis*.

*Correspondence: Ayman El-Shibiny aelshibiny@zewailcity.edu.eg Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, with http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Keywords Antibiofilm, Endodontic treatment, Irrigation, Phage therapy, Propolis, *Saphexavirus*, Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis*

Background

Enterococcus faecalis (E. faecalis) is a Gram-positive, opportunistic pathogen that causes several infections in the oral cavity, such as marginal periodontitis, dental caries, peri-implantitis, oral mucosal lesions, and root canal infections [1, 2]. The widespread occurrence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) *E. faecalis*, particularly vancomycin-resistant *E. faecalis* (VREF), has greatly hindered the effectiveness of traditional antibiotics in eliminating these infections. This represents an increased risk to global health [3, 4]. Consequently, combating the rise of MDR pathogenic bacteria is now a top priority for the World Health Organization (WHO).

E. faecalis infections can be severe due to its various virulence factors, including adherence, invasion, abscess formation, immune manipulation, and toxin secretion [5]. The genome of E. faecalis consists of antibiotic-resistance genes, which report over 25% of its total genetic content [6]. Furthermore, Antibiotic overuse and abuse accelerate the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria [7]. Another cause contributing to antibiotic ineffectiveness is the development of bacterial biofilms [8]. Biofilms are highly resistant to antimicrobial treatments and immune responses, often exhibiting a hundred times greater persistence than planktonic cells [9, 10]. Bacterial biofilms play a crucial role in nearly all significant diseases in dentistry. Periodontitis presents a formidable challenge as it is a biofilm-mediated disease that withstands antibiotic treatments and host defenses [11, 12]. Besides, the primary biological cause of root canal diseases is the endodontic biofilm [13, 14], mainly comprised of E. faecalis commonly encountered in previously treated root canals [15].

Several studies have demonstrated a higher prevalence of *E. faecalis*, reaching up to 90% in unsuccessful endodontic therapy [2, 16]. Sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is commonly used during root canal treatment, but its effectiveness is limited by its potential to damage dentin and leave residual biofilms [17-23].

Hence, it is crucial to expedite the development of promising, non-conventional, novel antimicrobial therapies [24], including natural products. Particularly phage-based products have the potential to reduce the impact of the burden of biofilm-induced MDR bacterial infections with minimal side effects [25].

Propolis, a resinous material gathered by bees, is valued for its potent antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial qualities. These effects are attributed to bioactive compounds, including flavonoids, which inhibit bacterial RNA polymerase and interfere with the microbial cell wall or membrane, impairing cellular function and integrity.

Bacteriophages, also known as phages, are viruses that infect and replicate only within bacterial cells to combat bacterial pathogens [26, 27]. The ultimate success of phage therapy in clinical practice relies on compelling evidence of its safety and minimal side effect [28], is non-toxic for eukaryotic cells, and has excellent specificity for the bacterial host without affecting the normal microbiota [29]. Only virulent phages are suitable for phage therapy, replicating via the lytic cycle. Moreover, some phages can disrupt bacterial biofilms by producing tail-associated depolymerase activity [30]. The accessibility of isolating novel phages from diverse origins and the cost-effectiveness of producing phage preparations compared to manufacturing novel antimicrobial agents are other advantages of phage therapy [31].

Therefore, using phage therapy in Endodontics to improve root canal disinfection protocols was interesting. Limited studies were found in this area, though the direct effect of bacteriophage used as irrigation on *E. faecalis* biofilm was not previously studied [31–34]. Also, the previous studies separately evaluated the antibacterial effect of propolis [35] or bacteriophage [36] in endodontic infection. However, no study evaluated the antibacterial effect of propolis and bacteriophage when combined. We aimed to isolate and identify phages targeting and destroying MDR *E. faecalis*, including their biofilm. Subsequently, the isolated phage was characterized by sequencing and annotating the genome.

In this work, the main objective of the ex vivo experiment was to evaluate the antibacterial activity of the isolated phage and propolis extract as irrigants separately and in combination and compare this antibacterial efficiency with the traditionally used NaOCl irrigation. The antibacterial effect was tested against a mature biofilm of *E. faecalis* using an ex vivo human tooth-infected dentin model.



Fig. 1 Heatmap illustrating the susceptibility to phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and antimicrobial agents, and virulence gene profiles of 50 bacterial isolates. The left panel describes the host range of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 visualized by plaque morphology: clear lysis (ΦΦ), opaque lysis (Φ), and no lysis (-) for each bacterial isolate. The middle panel represents the antimicrobial susceptibility profiles using color coding: green for sensitive, yellow for intermediate, and red for resistant isolates. A gradient heatmap in shades of red represents the Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) Index, with darker shades indicating higher indices. The right panel presents the PCR detection results for five virulence genes, with purple and light gray indicating positive and negative results, respectively

Results

Bacterial characterization and host range determination

The bacterial isolates were identified as E. faecalis, forming a black complex on bile esculin. Subsequent Vitek analysis confirmed the identification with 99.9% accuracy as E. faecalis. PCR analysis further confirmed the identification of the 50 E. faecalis isolates, including the EF/14 strain, which exhibited a 941 bp band corresponding to the targeted amplified region of ddl gene of E. faecalis. Additionally, multiplex PCR was conducted targeting the five virulence genes (Fig. 1). Among these, hyl and asa1 were detected in most of the isolates, while gelE was detected in only a few isolates. Notably, esp and cylA were not detected in any of the isolates. A heatmap describes the susceptibility of all bacterial isolates to twelve antibiotics from nine classes. Most of the isolates demonstrated a high resistance profile to the tested antibiotics, with MAR indices of ≥ 0.2 and ≥ 0.5 observed in most of the isolates and $\sim 50\%$ of the isolates, respectively. The phage-isolating host (EF/14) had the highest MAR index (0.92), as it exhibited resistance to all tested antibiotics except Nitrofurantoin, to which it demonstrated intermediate susceptibility.

Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 exhibited lytic activity against 33 bacterial isolates. These susceptible isolates displayed two distinct plaque morphologies: 21 isolates formed clear plaques, while 12 isolates formed opaque plaques. The remaining 17 isolates were not susceptible to phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and exhibited no visible effect (Fig. 1).

Phenotypic characterization of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 Phage stability

Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 remained stable under storage conditions (– 80 °C, – 20 °C, and 4 °C), with no significant reduction in titer (Fig. 2A). Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 also maintained stable at 37°C, 50°C, and 60 °C for an hour, with no significant difference in phage titer compared to 37 °C. However, the phage titer significantly decreased (P < 0.0001) at 70 °C, and likewise, it dropped below the detection limit at 80 °C (Fig. 2B). The titer of phage



Fig. 2 Stability assessment of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 under various conditions. **A** Storage temperatures stability at -80 °C, -20 °C, and 4 °C. **B** Thermal stability of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 at temperatures range from 37 to 80 °C. **C** Stability of the phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 under UV exposure assessed at an interval of 15 min for 45 min. **D** Stability of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 across a pH range of 2–12. **E** Stability of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 in the presence and absence of propolis (12 µg/mL), represented after a 3 h incubation period. Error bars represent the standard deviation, and statistical significance are indicated as ns for no significant difference ($P \ge 0.05$), *indicates (P < 0.05), and ****indicates (P < 0.0001)

vB_EfaS_ZC1 significantly declined (P < 0.0001) after exposure to UV for 15 min, which continued to decline further by about tenfold every 15 min of exposure till the experiment end point at 45 min (Fig. 2C). Notably, phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 tolerated a broad range of acidic (pH 4–7) and alkaline (pH 7–11) conditions without any significant reduction in titer compared to neutral pH 7. However, a significant decrease in phage titer was observed at pH 3 (P < 0.05), and it became undetectable at pH 2 and pH 12 (Fig. 2D). The propolis (12.5 µg/mL) did not affect the viability of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 for 3 h at 4 °C (Fig. 2E).

In vitro bacteriolytic activity and burst size

Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 demonstrated potent bacteriolytic activity against the EF/14 bacterial host at different MOIs (0.1, 1, and 10), assessed over 210 min (Fig. 3A–C). The results revealed a reduction in EF/14 bacterial growth lysed by phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 compared to the untreated bacterial culture at the three tested MOIs At MOIs 0.1 and 1, the surviving bacteria in the phage treated culture continued to decline by approximately 3 log till the end of the experiment at 210 min (Fig. 3A and 3B, respectively), while at an MOI of 10, the bacterial titer dramatically reduced within 30 min and remained undetectable till the end of the experiment



Fig. 3 In vitro bacteriolytic dynamics of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 at different MOIs. The three panels (**A**, **B**, and **C**) represent EF/14 cultures infected with phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 at MOIs 0.1, 1, and 10, respectively. These panels illustrate bacterial counts (CFU/mL) and phage titer (PFU/mL) over a period of 210 min. **D** One-step growth curve of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 at MOI 0.1

(Fig. 3C). Remarkably, the challenged bacteria did not resist the phage at all tested MOIs.

Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 replication was investigated using a one-step growth curve at MOI of 0.1. The analysis revealed an estimated latent period of < 10 min, followed by a lysis time of 40 min. This resulted in a burst size of approximately 75 (\pm 5) PFU per infected host cell (Fig. 3D).

Antibiofilm activity of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1

Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 significantly inhibited bacterial biofilm development. MOIs ranging from 0.01 to 100 demonstrated comparable results with high significance (P < 0.0001), while an MOI of 0.001 also significantly inhibited the biofilm formation (P < 0.05) compared to the untreated bacterial culture (Fig. 4A). The minimum infectious dose of MOI 0.01 was identified as the lowest MOI that exhibited the most potent inhibitory impact on biofilm production. Furthermore, in the

biofilm clearance assay, all tested MOIs (100-0.01 demonstrated comparable efficacy in significantly clearing mature biofilms (P < 0.0001) compared to the untreated group (Fig. 4B). The antibiofilm results underscore the potent activity of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 against bacterial biofilm.

Morphology of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1

Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 exhibited a prolate capsid with approximate dimensions (length ~ 102 nm and width ~ 42 nm) and a long, non-contractile tail measuring around ~ 133 nm, as observed by TEM (Fig. 5A). The identified structural features are characteristic of the Siphovirus morphotype, as defined by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) classification in its ninth report [37]. Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 formed small, well-defined, and circular plaques on the bacterial lawn of EF/14, indicating efficient bacterial lysis and a lytic phage (Fig. 5B).



Fig. 4 Antibiofilm activity of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 at different MOIs. **A** Quantification of biofilm inhibition in bacterial cultures treated with phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 at MOIs (0.001–100), measured as OD 595 nm. **B** Quantification of biofilm clearance in preformed biofilms treated with phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 at MOIs (0.001–100), measured as OD 595 nm. Statistical significance between phage-treated groups and the untreated group is indicated * and **** which represent *P* < 0.05 and *P* < 0.0001, respectively

Genome and phylogeny analysis

The obtained reads were of length between 35 and 326 bases. The reads were successfully assembled into one contig with an average read depth and coverage of 215x. The size of the assembled contig is 58,596 bp, with an average GC content of 39.8%. The phage genome annotation revealed 102 ORFs and 2 tRNA genes (Fig. 5C and Supplementary Table S2). The tRNAs carry anticodons for tryptophan (Trp) and serine (Ser). The predicted ORFs included 11 genes that encode assembly (virion structural) proteins, 4 genome packaging genes, 3 lysis genes, and 69 hypothetical proteins. Depolymerase domains were predicted with > 90% confidence in ORFs 30 and 31, annotated as tail fiber and tail spike proteins, respectively. BLASTp analysis revealed that the N-terminal domain of the tail spike protein (ORF 31), putatively a hyaluronidase, shares high similarity (100% coverage, >90% identity, and e-value = 0) with most saphexaviruses (Supplementary Fig. S3, Table S3).

ORF 15 is predicted to encode a class I holin based on high similarity in the amino acid sequence (100% coverage, >98% identity, and e-value < 10^{-44}) to known holins from closely related phages in the GenBank database and the predicted protein topology by DeepTMHMM. The predicted topology indicates class I features, including three transmembrane domains flanked by a periplasmic N-terminus and a cytoplasmic C-terminus (Supplementary Figure S4). The genomic analysis of phage suitability for therapy did not find any markers for AMR, virulence, lysogeny, and DGR systems.

using Proteomic analysis ViPtree (Fig. 6A, Supplementary Table S4) classified vB_EfaS_ZC1 with phages that infect bacteria of phylum Bacillota, but these phages have not been assigned to a specific family yet. Additionally, VIRIDIC analysis clustered phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 based on overall genome similarity as a unique species within the genus Saphexavirus (Fig. 6B). Likewise, the maximum likelihood tree of the core protein terminase (large subunit) grouped phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 with members of the genus Saphexavirus (Fig. 6C). These analyses strongly suggest that vB EfaS ZC1 is a novel phage which is related to Saphexaviruses genus.

MIC and MBC of propolis

Propolis demonstrated potent antibacterial activity against EF/14 bacteria with a 12.5 μ g/mL MIC. While a higher concentration of 25 μ g/mL for MBC exhibited a bactericidal effect, confirming its efficacy in eliminating viable bacteria.



Fig. 5 Morphological characteristics of phage vB_EFaS_ZC1. **A** Transmission electron micrograph of phage vB_EFaS_ZC1 captured using TEM **B** Phage vB_EFaS_ZC1 plaques formed in double-layer agar plates on the EF/14 lawn. **C** Circle map visualization of phage vB_EFaS_ZC1 genome. Colored segments represent coding sequences categorized by predicted function: orange (genome packaging), light green (assembly of virion proteins), red (lysis), brown (replication), turquoise (regulation), pink (immune), blue (infection), light blue (unsorted/hypothetical), and grey (tRNA gene). The middle circle displays GC content (black). The inner circle shows a GC skew with green for the positive skew and magenta for the negative skew

Time Killing Curve of Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and Propolis

The time-killing curve analysis demonstrated that all tested treatments (phage, propolis, and combination of both) dramatically reduced bacterial culture. Meanwhile,

the treatments included the phage or propolis alone exhibited bacterial regrowth after 180 min. Notably, the combination treatment (phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and propolis) reduced bacterial growth below the detectable limit after 180 min and until the experiment end point



Fig. 6 Phylogenetic analysis of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1. Three panels represent the evolutionary relationships of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1: **A** A proteomic tree with the phage marked by a red star, the circular tree comparing vB_EFaS_ZC1 to all phages in the ViPtree database, and the rectangular tree highlighting closely related phages with high ViPtree similarity scores (SG > 0.6). **B** VIRIDIC heatmap visualizing the intergenomic similarity of vB_EFaS_ZC1 (red star) to closely related phages, all members of the *Saphexavirus* genus based on NCBI taxonomy; *Saphexaviruses* in ICTV marked by blue circles. The heatmap represents clustering at genus and species levels. **C** A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree comparing the terminase large subunit (TerL, core protein) of vB_EfaS_ZC1 (red triangle) with homologs from other closely related Saphexavirus phages. Four phages with low SG scores (<0.6) to vB_EfaS_ZC1 were chosen as an outgroup. Phylogeny.fr was used for tree construction

(48 h). The combination demonstrated a more potent and sustained effect against *E. faecalis* (Fig. 7A).

Viable Bacterial Count Assessment After Irrigation of Dentin Slices

All treated groups exhibited extremely statistically significant differences (p < 0.0001) in CFU count, while the saline group demonstrated a very significant difference (p < 0.01) compared to the control group. The group treated with a combination phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and propolis irrigation resulted in the lowest bacterial counts (Fig. 7B). Total bacterial counts are presented as means and standard deviations (SDs) for the number of EF/14 colonies remaining after each irrigation.

FE-SEM and CLSM analysis for tested irrigation

The sterilized dentin slice, as verified by FE-SEM, confirmed the removal of the smear layer, revealing clear intratubular dentin free from any bacterial contamination (Fig. 8A). Following a four-week incubation period with EF/14, the FE-SEM image demonstrated the successful formation of a biofilm, effectively blocking the dentinal tubules (DT) and mimicking the endodontic environment (Fig. 8B1). Additionally, CLSM analysis corroborated a high percentage of viable bacterial cells, further validating the establishment of a mature *E. faecalis* biofilm (Fig. 8B2-B3). FE-SEM analysis of the 2% NaOCl group revealed residual biofilm with some remnants of adherent biofilm on the dentin surface

Control Phage vB_Efas_ZC1 **Propolis** Phage vB Efas ZC1 + Propolis 1012 1011 1010. 10⁹ CFU/mL 108 107 106 105 104 103omin 180 min 30 min 60 min 90 min 120 min 1811 **Time Points** В **ΦZC1** +Propolis ΦΖC1 **** **Propolis** **** **** NaOCl **** Saline ¥ Control $10^3 \ 10^4 \ 10^5 \ 10^6 \ 10^7 \ 10^8 \ 10^9 \ 10^{10} \ 10^{11}$ **CFU/mL** after irrigation

Fig. 7 Evaluation of the antibacterial efficacy of phage, propolis, and their combination against EF/14 bacteria in planktonic and biofilm forms. **A** Time-killing curve of EF/14 culture treated with phage vB_EfaS_ZC1, propolis, and their combination over 48 h. **B** Efficacy of different irrigation treatments against EF/14 biofilms on dentin slices. The statistical significance of the reduction in bacterial count (CFU/mL) after different irrigation treatments compared to the control (untreated) group is represented by ** for P < 0.001

(Fig. 8C1). CLSM analysis demonstrated dead bacterial cells (red) scattered amongst the residual biofilm structure (Fig. 8C2). The saline group revealed extensive biofilm coverage on the root canal dentin surface by FE-SEM analysis (Fig. 8D1). Conversely, CLSM analysis exposed intact biofilm with a high abundance of viable bacteria (Fig. 8D2). The propolis treatment, while effective in killing bacteria, left behind residual biofilm on the dentin surface. This was confirmed by both FE-SEM and CLSM analysis (Fig. 8E1-E2). FE-SEM analysis of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 indicates biofilm disruption on the dentin surface (Fig. 8F1). CLSM analysis for phage vB_ EfaS ZC1 demonstrates extensive biofilm eradication with dead bacterial cells (Fig. 8F2). The dentin surface of the combination group exhibited highly disruption biofilm, as a clear smear layer, with open dentinal tubules and absence of bacteria (Fig. 8G1), the combined phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and propolis treatment revealed the most effective disinfection outcome. CLSM analysis demonstrates total eradication of live bacteria (Fig. 8G2). The dark background distinguishes non-fluorescent material.

Saline, phage vB_EfaS_ZC1, and the combination of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and propolis significantly reduced (P<0.001) bacterial cells when compared to the positive control group (NaOCl). Importantly, no significant difference was observed between the propolis and NaOCl groups, also no significant difference between phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and its combination with propolis (Fig. 8H). Among all tested irrigation treatments, the combination of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and propolis demonstrated the greatest reduction in live bacterial cells, which indicates the effectiveness of this combination as an endodontic disinfectant.

Discussion

E. faecalis is the most prevalent pathogen in root canal infections, particularly, vancomycin-resistant and biofilm-forming strains that pose significant challenges due to treatment failure and recurrent infections [38–40]. While chlorhexidine and sodium hypochlorite are commonly used as endodontic irrigants, they have limitations, including a lack of residual efficacy and

potential cytotoxicity to periapical tissues [2, 41]. To address these limitations, we investigated the application of bacteriophages against VRE.

Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 successfully reduced the growth of MDR resistant E. faecalis isolate at MOI 0.1, 1, and 10. The short latent period and medium burst size observed for phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 fall within the range reported for many other *E. faecalis* phages [94, 96, 99, 102]. Moreover, phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 effectively reduced E. faecalis biofilms in an ex vivo model mimicking the complexities of a root canal infection. Additionally, the combination of vB EfaS ZC1 with propolis demonstrated enhanced antibacterial activity against planktonic bacteria compared to phage monotherapy, and this combination inhibited the emergence of phage-resistant mutants for up to 48 h. However, the combination and phage monotherapy had a comparable effect in the ex vivo model, potentially due to propolis's limited penetration into mature biofilms.

Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 was evaluated based on the key characteristics of an ideal therapeutic phage, which mainly include strict lytic life mode, safety profile, and broad host range [42, 43]. No lysogeny markers, virulence, and antibiotic resistance genes were identified in the phage vB_EFaS_ZC genome, which strongly suggests the phage's suitability for therapeutic applications. Moreover, the phage demonstrated high efficacy in eliminating the target pathogen, even the most challenging multidrug-resistant and biofilm-forming strain. Additionally, the phage has a relatively broad host range of clinical *E. faecalis* isolates.

Phylogenetic analyses assign phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 to the genus *Saphexavirus*. In this section, the phage stability, antibiofilm activity, and replication dynamics are compared against representative *E. faecalis* phages from *Saphexavirus* genus IME-EF1[44], vB_EfaS_ HEf13 [45], vB_EfaS_PHB08 [46], and EF-P29 [47], other siphoviruses SFQ1 [42], Ef212 [48], myoviruses vB_Efa29212_3e [36], and podoviruses vB_ZEFP [32]. Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 tolerated a broad temperature range (-80°C to 60°C) over an hour which is comparable to the demonstrated stability of phages vB_EfaS_PHB08 [46], vB_EfaS-271 [49], EPC, and EPE [50]. Meanwhile, phages

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 8 Characterization of EF/14 biofilm morphology and viability following irrigation treatments in ex vivo model of root dentin slices. **A** Sterilized blank group without biofilm, while (**B**) contained a four-week-old biofilm without any treatment. (**C**-**G**) underwent different irrigated treatments: (**C**) was treated with 2% Sodium Hypochlorite, (**D**) with 0.9% saline, (**E**) with propolis, (**F**) with phage vB_EfaS_ZC1, and (**G**) with a combination of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 and propolis. These groups are represented in the corresponding figure. **B1-G1** Qualitative analysis was observed by FESEM images of the EF/14 biofilm after 10 min of different irrigation treatments. **B2–G2** Quantitative analysis by CLSM illustrating EF/14 biofilms (green: live bacteria; red: dead bacteria) on dentin slices after 10 min of different irrigation treatments. **H** Box plots demonstrate the percentage of live bacterial cells under different irrigation treatments. The median and interquartile range (n = 6) are represented for each group, Statistical significance is indicated by *** for *P* < 0.001, and ns indicates no significant difference.



Fig. 8 (See legend on previous page.)

vB_EfaS_HEf13 [45], SFQ1 [42], and vB_EfaS-SRH2 [51] were stable at lower temperatures. This remarkable temperature tolerance suggests that phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 withstands harsh environmental conditions during storage and transportation.

Additionally, phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 maintained its viability under acidic and alkaline conditions (pH 3–11) with a limited reduction in viability after 24 h of exposure to pH 3. Consequently, phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 had comparable pH stability to phage vB_EfaS_HEf13 [45]. While other phages, vB_Efa29212_2e and vB_Efa29212_3e [36] demonstrates stability at a narrower pH range. Given the characteristically acidic environment of endodontic infections [52], the stability of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 at low pH strongly supports its potential efficacy in treating this condition.

Phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 exhibited potent antibiofilm activity in both in vitro and ex vivo models, consistent with the antibiofilm properties of other *Enterococcus* phages, including saphexaviruses vB_EfaS_PHB08 [46] and vB_EfKS5 [53] and other siphoviruses vB_EfaS-271 [99], vB_EfaS-SRH2 [51], and SA14 [102]. Depolymerase domains were identified within the tail fiber and tail spike proteins of phage vB_EfaS_ZC1. The latter suggests that the depolymerase could facilitate phage infection by degrading the biofilm matrix and penetrating the *Enterococcus* capsule to reach bacterial cell receptors [54].

Endodontic and periodontal biofilms, composed of multiple bacterial species, are challenging to treat. Several strategies have been proposed to prepare multispecies bacteriophage and mitigate bacterial resistance [55]. Phage cocktails targeting diverse bacteria can enhance antimicrobial efficacy against such biofilms, significantly lowering the chances of bacteria developing resistance [56]. Moreover, genetically modified phages offer another solution by targeting multiple bacterial receptors and disrupting shared biofilm components [57]. Complementary treatments like propolis, a natural antibacterial agent, act on bacterial membranes or cell walls and cause functional and structural damage [58]. Combining propolis with phage therapy may broaden the treatment spectrum, reducing the need for speciesspecific phage cocktails and providing a versatile approach to managing complex biofilms.

One of the limitations of this study is the absence of a preclinical animal model to evaluate potential side effects or immune responses associated with using phages as endodontic disinfectants. Although we conducted ex vivo experiments using extracted human teeth, these models do not fully replicate the complex host environment, including potential immune recognition of phages as non-self antigens. Localized administration of phages, particularly through oral delivery, has the potential to confine treatment to the infection site and reduce the likelihood of significant immunogenicity [59]. In contrast, systemic administration can activate both innate and adaptive immune systems, often resulting in the production of anti-phage antibodies [60]. For instance, Sarker et al. [61], demonstrated the safety and the efficacy of oral phage therapy in children with acute bacterial diarrhea, reported no adverse events. However, other studies revealed that the phage interaction with the mammalian immune system can elicit humoral immune responses to produce antiphage antibodies with phage-neutralizing activity [62–64].

Further research using preclinical animal models is essential to investigate potential immune responses, including the generation of anti-phage antibodies and other localized or systemic effects, to ensure the safety and efficacy of phage-based therapies in endodontic applications. Additionally, exploring the synergistic effects of phage cocktails or combinations with other antimicrobial agents offers promising avenues for combating MDR *E. faecalis* in complex oral environments.

Conclusions

This study identifies and describes a promising phage for therapy to address the persistent challenge of endodontic infections caused by vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecalis. Characterization of the novel phage vB_EfaS_ ZC1 revealed its broad-spectrum efficacy and ability to eradicate mature biofilms. The phage's robust stability under various environmental conditions enhances its potential for practical application. Moreover, the effects observed with propolis demonstrate the potential for developing innovative and effective endodontic treatment strategies. As antibiotic resistance and the ability of bacteria to form biofilms become increasingly prevalent, phage-based therapies like vB_EfaS_ZC1 emerge as compelling alternatives to traditional treatments in endodontic care. Consequently, phage therapy holds great promise as a transformative approach to endodontic treatment, with potential implications for addressing the broader challenge of antimicrobial resistance.

Material and methods

Bacterial isolates and preservation conditions

Fifty clinical bacterial isolates were gifted from the endodontic clinic at the Faculty of Dentistry, Suez Canal University, Egypt, to the Center of Microbiology and Phage Therapy at Zewail City for Technology and Science. The bacterial isolates were cultured on differential selective media Bile Esculin Azide agar (MAC; Oxoid, UK). The VITEK MS system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Étoile, France) was used to identify the isolates of some of the acquired *E. faecalis* isolates, followed by identification through API 20 STREP strip systems (bioMérieux, Cairo, Egypt) [50]. One colony was inoculated into 1 mL of TSB (Oxoid, UK) with 20% glycerol and kept at -80° C for preservation.

Bacterial confirmation and identification of virulence genes by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

The bacterial identification was assessed by amplifying a conserved region of a specific gene $ddl_{E.\ faecalis}$. PCR reaction was conducted using a mixture of 25 µL of Master Mix, one microliter of each forward and reverse primer, 5 µL of bacterial DNA obtained through the (QIAamp DNA Mini Kit). Finally, the remaining volume up to 25 µL was completed by nuclease-free water [65, 66]. Furthermore, multiplex colony PCR was performed to detect the presence of five virulence genes (*asa1, cylA, esp, gelE,* and *hyl*) in *Enterococci,* known to be key determinants of bacterial pathogenesis [67, 68]. Specific primer sets were used to target these genes (Supplementary Table S1), with product sizes varying to allow for clear differentiation by agarose gel electrophoresis.

Antibiotic Susceptibility Profile

The antimicrobial susceptibility assay was conducted on 50 E. faecalis isolates using disk diffusion methods according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute 2022 recommendations (CLSI) [69]. Briefly, the diameter of the clear zone around the discs was interpreted as either resistant, intermediate, or susceptible according to the breakpoints of CLSI 2022. The suitability of each E. faecalis strain was tested on 12 discs of antibiotics (belonging to 9 different classes), such as Vancomycin (VA; 30 µg), Linezolid (LZD; 30 μ g), Erythromycin (E; 15 μ g), Teicoplanin (TEC; 30 µg), Rifampicin (RD; 5 µg), Nitrofurantoin(F; 300 μg), Ampicillin (AM; 10 μg), Doxycycline (DO; 30 μg), Tetracycline (TE; 30 μg), Gentamycin (CN;10 μg), Ciprofloxacin (CIP; 5 µg), and Norfloxacin (Nor; 10 µg) [32, 70]. Based on the resistance profile of each isolate, the Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) index was calculated, as described previously [71].

Isolation, purification, and propagation of phage

Phages targeting *E. faecalis* were isolated from different sewage samples that were collected from different sites in Giza, Egypt. The sewage sample was mixed with *E. faecalis* cultures and fresh TSB in a centrifuge tube for enrichment. Afterward, the mixture underwent

incubation in a shaker incubator at 100 rpm for 3 h at 37 °C [72]. Then, the enriched sample was centrifuged at 6163×g for 15 min at 4 °C. The supernatant (phage lysate) was filtered using a 0.45 µm porous Polyethersulfone syringe filter (Standard Membrane Filtration Limited, China) [73]. For phage screening, the double layer agar (DLA) method and spotting test were used as previously described [74], then plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. A clear phage plaque was chosen for further characterization using E. faecalis (EF/14) as a bacterial host. The purification of phage plaque was accomplished by repeating the process of isolating a single plaque seven times. Briefly, each single plaque was collected and suspended in 200 µL sodium magnesium (SM) buffer and kept for 2 h to elute the entrapped phages. Next, the phage was amplified by using the plate lysate method [75], and the amplification titer was determined as a plaque-forming unit (PFU) using a spotting assay [76] of serially diluted phage lysate. The amplified phage was filtered using a syringe filter with 0.22 µm porous cellulose acetate and stored in SM buffer at 4 °C.

Host Range and Lytic Profile

The host range and lysis efficiency of the purified phage were evaluated against *E. faecalis*. A total of 10 μ L of purified phage was spotted in triplicates on 50 different bacterial lawns of *E. faecalis* on TSA plates with a double agar layer (0.5% Bacto Agar) containing exponentially growing bacterial culture. The plates were kept at 37°C overnight and inspected for plaque formation [77].

Phage stability

The phage was serially diluted and spotted on a bacterial culture EF/14 to evaluate its temperature, UV, and pH stability. The temperature stability of the phage was evaluated over an hour of incubation at various temperatures (-80, -20, 4, 37, 50, 60, 70, 75, and 80 °C). The initial titer for phage aliquots was set to 10^8 PFU/mL at each temperature. The phage was exposed to UV exposure for 15, 30, and 45 min to assess UV stability. Furthermore, the SM buffer at different pH levels ranging from 2 to 12 using 0.1 M NaCl and 0.1 M HCl to test the stability of the phage for 24 h. The phage titer for each pH was measured after the incubation period.

Moreover, the phage viability in the presence of propolis was evaluated [78]. In brief, a 500 μ L of phage volume at $\simeq 10^9$ PFU/mL was introduced into a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube containing an equal volume of 12 μ g/mL of propolis for 3 h. The stability of the phage was assessed by determining the phage titer before and after incubation with the propolis.

Time-killing curve and one-step growth curve

The bacteriolytic activity was conducted with minor modifications, as described previously [76]. Briefly, the bacteriolytic efficiency of the phage at MOIs of 0.1, 1, and 10, was evaluated at various time points over period of 3.5 h of incubation. The experiment included two centrifuge tubes (C and BS), the C (control) tube contained only bacterial culture (not treated with phage), while the BS (bacterial survival) tube contained a bacterial culture treated with the phage. Each tube containing 10 mL of the exponential-growthphase culture of EF/14 with a concentration adjusted $(\simeq 10^5 \text{ CFU/mL})$. At each time point, an aliquot of 100 µL was collected and then incubated at 37 °C with shaking at 100 rpm. At each time point, an aliquot of 100 µL was collected, then serially diluted and spotted on TSA plates [79].

The one-step growth curve was conducted to estimate the infection latency and the burst size at MOI 0.1, from which the phage plaques were enumerated by collecting two aliquots, one for the infective centers (IC, untreated phage lysate with chloroform) and another chloroformtreated aliquot [76].

Phage anti-biofilm efficacy

The phage's anti-biofilm efficacy was evaluated across various phage MOIs (0.001-100, equivalent to 10^4 PFU/mL -10^9 PFU/mL) using a crystal violet assay in a microtiter plate, as described by a previous study [80]. The antibiofilm activity was assessed regarding biofilm inhibition and clearance of preformed biofilm.

Biofilm inhibition

In brief, a volume of 20 µL of phage was added to 180 μ L of bacterial suspension (10⁶ CFU/mL) that was incubated in a 96-well plate (CELLSTAR, Greiner Bio-One, Portugal) at 37 °C overnight. The following day, the supernatant was removed, and the wells were washed with dH₂O three times, then incubated for 1 h at 60 °C for heat fixation [81]. In addition, 150 μ L of 0.06% (w/v) crystal violet was added to each well and incubated for 5 min in a dark place. After that, the crystal violet was removed and rewashed three times with dH₂O, then the plate was left to dry. Following staining, the biofilm was eluted with 200 µL of 30% (v/v) glacial acetic acid and subsequent measurement at an optical density (OD) of 595 nm using the FLUOstar® Omega Microplate Reader (BMG LABTECH, Germany). Six replicates were used for each MOI evaluation.

Biofilm clearance

The standard biofilm inhibition assay was modified. Instead of immediate phage treatment, bacterial cultures were incubated for 24 h to establish the EF/14 biofilm. Subsequently, phage preparations at different MOIs were added to the untreated biofilm and incubated at 37°C for 24 h.

Phage imaging using transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

The purified phage was used for phage morphology and imaged on a glow-discharged using TEM (1230 JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). Exactly 10 μ L of high-titer phage was dropped onto a carbon-coated copper (Pelco International) grid for 2 min and stained with 4% uranyl acetate for phage visualization. The captured images from a JEOL 1230 TEM were measured using ImageJ software version 1.53n [82].

DNA isolation and purification

The phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol method was utilized, as previously described [83], to extract the phage genome from a high-titer phage lysate (10⁹ PFU/ mL). Briefly, the phage was purified from bacteria using a syringe filter. Next, DNase and RNase were used to degrade free nucleic acids before breaking the phage capsid with proteinase K, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA, Prevest Denpro Limited Company, Jammu, India) at 56 °C for 1 h. An equal volume of phenol, chloroform, and isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was added to isolate genomic DNA. After centrifugation at $18,000 \times g$ for 10 min, the genomic DNA mixture was separated into a top layer of aqueous phase. The isolated DNA was precipitated by adding 3M sodium acetate and ice-cold isopropanol, then left for 1 h at - 80°C. The DNA pellet was formed by centrifugation at $18,000 \times g$ for 10 min. The pellet was washed twice in 90% and 70% ice-cold ethanol, then kept to dry before adding 100 µL DNase- and RNase-free water.

Sequencing and bioinformatics analysis

The analysis of obtained reads was conducted using FastQC (v0.12.1) and de novo assembled with Unicycler (v0.4.8) on the BV-BRC platform [84]. The resultant single phage contig was annotated with the Rapid Annotation using Subsystem Technology Toolkit (RASTtk) pipeline [85–87]. The predicted ORFs were further checked for homology with protein sequences in the NCBI non-redundant protein database by BLASTp. Additionally, the ORF sequences were analyzed by InterProScan against InterPro's member database signatures. tRNAscan [88]

and Aragon [89] were used to find tRNA genes in the phage genomic sequence.

The suitability of the phage for therapy was evaluated by analyzing its genome for genetic markers associated with antimicrobial resistance (AR), virulence, lysogeny, and diversity-generating retroelements (DGR) systems. This analysis was performed using PhageLeads [90], the Resistance Gene Identifier (RGI) on the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD) [91], and myDGR server [92]. The genome map of phage vB_EfaS_ ZC1 was visualized using Proksee [93]. Phage coding sequences were screened for depolymerase domains using DPO [94], and the topologies of the predicted amino acid sequences were analyzed for transmembrane domains with DeepTMHMM [95].

Genome-genome distancing was assessed by ViPtree (proteome comparison) [96] and VIRIDIC (nucleotide sequence comparison) [97]. VIRIDIC assigned taxa using ICTV species and genus thresholds. Additionally, the closest-related phages to vB_EfaS_ZC1 were analyzed for the homology of their large subunit terminase (TerL), a core protein, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed on Phylogeny.fr [98]. To infer evolutionary relationships, amino acid sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (v3.8.31) [99], followed by gap removal with Gblocks (v0.91b). The WAG substitution model was selected and incorporated into the PhyML program (v3.1/3.0 aLRT) for maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree reconstruction [100]. Branch reliability within the tree was assessed using the aLRT (SH-Like) test [101]. Finally, TreeDyn (v198.3) was used to visualize the phylogenetic tree [102].

Propolis extraction

The ethanol propolis extraction method was prepared according to the previous study with some modifications [103]. Initially, 4 g of the raw propolis from a bee farm in Siwa Oasis, Egypt, was added to 100 mL of 80% ethanol; the extract was kept at 80 °C for 2 h after being incubated at 60 °C for the same time, followed by centrifugation at high speed to precipitate large particles. The last step was collection and purification using a syringe filter for the supernatant, and then stored at -20 °C.

Disc and well diffusion methods

Ramadan et al. [104] intended to assess the antibacterial efficacy of propolis against EF/14 host strain using the agar well and disc diffusion technique. A volume of 100 μ L of bacterial culture of EF/14 (10⁸ CFU/mL) was spread with sterilized cotton on TSA plates. After the upper inoculated agar medium was dried, various wells were created using sterile tips. For the disc diffusion, sterile filter paper discs with a diameter of approximately 6 mm were positioned onto the surface of TSA agar.

Subsequently, 10 μ l of propolis was added at various concentrations ranging from 6.25 to 100 μ g/mL, and with sterilized broth as a negative control.

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of propolis

MIC and MBC determinations for the propolis extract were conducted as previously reported [105]. Briefly, serial dilutions of propolis (6.25–100 μ g/mL) were prepared in a 96-well plate and inoculated with EF/14 (10⁶ CFU/mL). After 24 h of incubation at 37 °C, the MIC was determined as the lowest extract concentration preventing visible growth. To assess the MBC, cultures from clear wells were serially diluted and plated on TSA for colony counting.

Preparation of *E. faecalis* phage combined with propolis *Time-killing curve assay of combination*

The bactericidal effects of the phage, propolis, and their combination (phage-propolis) against EF/14 were determined by measuring the CFU/mL in triplicates. The experiment was divided into four groups: phage 10^8 PFU/mL, propolis 12.5 µg/mL, combination (phage 10^8 PFU/mL, propolis 12.5 µg/mL), and bacterial culture (10^7 CFU/mL) at MOI ~1. Each group was prepared with a final volume of 6 mL and then incubated at shaking incubator at 37 °C. Aliquots of 100 µL in each tube were taken from each tube at intervals of 0 min, 30 min, 60 min, 90 min, 120 min, 180 min, and 48 h. These samples were subjected to tenfold serial dilution and then spotted on TSA plates, followed by incubation at 37 °C for 24 h [106].

Application of *E. faecalis* phage therapy ex vivo human tooth infected dentin models

Preparation of the ex vivo human tooth-infected dentin model

Sample selection Eighty-one single-rooted, human mandibular second premolar teeth that were freshly extracted for orthodontics or prosthetic causes were collected from an outpatient clinic, Faculty of Dentistry, Suez Canal University, under protocol approval by the faculty ethics committee (No. 703/2023). The teeth were preoperatively evaluated by digital periapical radiographs in buccolingual and mesiodistal dimensions, following inclusion criteria: sound single root with single canal type I in Vertucci's classification [107], and closed apices. The following teeth were excluded if they had previous endodontic manipulation, apical ramifications, root carious lesions, fractures or cracks, hyper-cementosis, root canal calcifications, or resorption. The sample size was calculated according to Faul et al. [108] using G*Power

software 3.1.9.6 (G Power; Franz Faul, University of Kiel, Germany, Supplementary Figures S1 and S2).

Root Slice Preparation The teeth were subjected to an ultrasonic scaler (Miltex, Davies Drive, York) to eliminate debris and calculus, followed by a 10 min ultrasonic rinse in distilled water (ddH₂O). Then, the tooth slices were immersed in 5.25% NaOCl for 30 min. A final wash using a 5% sodium thiosulfate solution (Na₂S₂O₃) was performed To neutralize the NaOCl [109]. Teeth were decoronated below the cementoenamel junction to a standardized length of 16 mm using a rotary diamond disk (Sharp Inc, Luzern, Switzerland) attached to a low-speed handpiece with water coolant. Each tooth's canal patency and working length were checked radiographically using k files of size #15. All root canals were then prepared by using E-FLEX Gold heat-treated nickel-titanium rotary files using an E-connect endo motor (Eighteeth Medical, Changzhou City, Jiangsu Province, China) in a rotation mode, set at 350 rpm and 2.5 Ncm torque using step-down technique according to manufacturer's instructions until the master apical file 35/06 was reached. Three milliliters of 3% NaOCl were irrigated in each root canal for 3 min using a 3 mL plastic syringe with a 30-gauge side-vented needle (Laigues, Vd, Switzerland). Subsequently, the canals were irrigated with 3 mL of 17% EDTA for 5 min to eliminate the smear layer. To neutralize any residual EDTA or NaOCl, the canals were rinsed with 10 mL of 10% ddH₂O followed by 5 mL of 5% Na₂S₂O₃. The external surfaces of the prepared root samples were then coated with two layers of nail polish.

The prepared roots were split longitudinally into two halves and then horizontally to obtain standardized root segments of five mm in length, creating a total of 162 semi-circular root samples (n = 162). Then, all tooth slices were sterilized in an autoclave at 121 °C for 20 min in TSB broth. Additionally, one tooth slice from each group (n = 1) was randomly selected for FE-SEM evaluation to confirm the sterilization of the samples.

Biofilm-infected root dentin slices Cultivation and inoculation of biofilm

E. faecalis was cultured on TSA agar at 37 °C for 24 h, then the colonies were suspended in 20 mL of TSB. Each sterilized tooth slice was placed on a 24-well cell culture plate facing upward. After that, each tooth slice was inoculated with *E. faecalis* suspension with a turbidity of $(1.5 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/mL})$ using a sterile insulin syringe (AdvaCare, Cheyenne, USA). The infected tooth slices were incubated for 4 weeks at 37 °C under aseptic aerobic conditions to allow biofilm formation. Then, it is

replenished with fresh TSB broth every 3 days to ensure bacterial viability and prevent death [58, 110].

Evaluation of biofilm formation at 4 weeks Biofilm formation was measured from one random sample from each group (n=1) after 28 days using FE-SEM. This process ensured that all samples had been infected successfully with mature bacterial biofilm before testing the irrigation treatments.

Application of irrigation treatment on infected dentin slices By the end of the 4 weeks, samples were washed using 5 mL of Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) to eliminate the remaining broth. Afterward, each of the remaining prepared tooth slices (n = 25) in each group was immersed in 1 mL of corresponding tested irrigation contained in a centrifuge tube for 10 min [111, 112]. The irrigant was applied as follows:

- Group A: No treatment (serves as a blank control)
- Group B: four-week mature biofilm without any treatment (serves as a negative control)
- Group C: 2% NaOCl (serves as a positive control)
- Group D: 0.9% Saline
- Group E: 12 µg/mL Propolis
- Group F: 10⁸ PFU/mL Phage
- Group G: A combination of 10⁸ PFU/mL Phage + 12 μg/mL Propolis

Evaluation of biofilm treatment on dentin slices with different test irrigants

Viable bacterial count assessment after irrigation After treating the root slices with corresponding irrigation, each specimen was positioned in a separate petri dish. The dentinal shavings were collected into a centrifuge tube containing 1 mL of TSB. Exactly 100 μ L of media with dentinal shavings were added to 900 μ L sterile TSB then, serially diluted and spotted onto TSA agar plates.

FE-SEM qualitative analysis

After irrigation, tooth slices were washed with 0.1% $Na_2S_2O_{3,}$ followed by PBS for 1 min to neutralize hypochlorite and remove the remaining irrigation solution. All specimens were sputter-coated with gold surface roughness (Hummer 8, for 3 min, 15 milliamperes) using carbon paste on a copper stub. The sputter-coated tooth slices were examined using FE-SEM (ZEISS, LEO SUPRA-55, Germany) at various magnifications (1,000X, 1,500X, 2,000X, and 4,000X) to assess biofilm formation on the surface qualitatively. This approach allowed for a detailed comparison of biofilm morphology between the different irrigation groups.

CLSM quantitative analysis

Following treatment removal, the tooth slices were rinsed with 0.1% Na₂S₂O₃, followed by PBS for 1 min, then left to dry. The samples were stained with fluorescent LIVE/DEAD BacLight bacterial viability stain, Acridine Orange (AO), and propidium iodide (PI) for 15 min. Live and dead cells were visualized using green and red fluorescence, respectively. Subsequently, the sample was examined under CLSM (Zeiss, LSM 980, Jena, Germany) with \times 50 magnification. Zeiss Zen 3.2 (blue edition) software was utilized to analyze and quantify bacterial cells using CLSM images to ensure precise and accurate results.

Data analysis

All statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS software (version 20, SPSS Inc., USA) and GraphPad Prism 9.0 software (San Diego, CA, USA). Comparison between control and test groups was performed using one-way ANOVA, and Tukey's post-hoc test with a significance threshold set at P < 0.05.

Accession number

The annotated genome of *Enterococcus* phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 has accession number PP271740 and taxon ID 311956.

Abbreviations

AO	Acridine orange
AR	Antimicrobial resistance
BS	Bacterial survival
С	Control
CAPE	Caffeic acid phenethyl ester
CARD	Comprehensive antibiotic resistance database
CFU	Colony-forming unit
CLSM	Confocal laser scanning microscopy
ddH ₂ O	Distilled water
DT	Dentinal tubules
DGR	Diversity-generating retroelements
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
FE-SEM	Field emission scanning electron microscopy
IC	Infective centers
MBC	Minimum Bactericidal Concentration
MDR	Multidrug-Resistant
MIC	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration
MOI	Multiplicities of infection
NaOCI	Sodium hypochlorite
Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	Sodium thiosulfate solution
OD	Optical density
ORF	Open reading frame
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
PFU	Plaque-forming unit
PI	Propidium iodide
RASTtk	Rapid annotation using subsystem technology toolkit
RGI	Resistance gene identifier
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
TerL	Large subunit terminase
VIRIDIC	Virus intergenomis distance calculator
VREF	Vancomycin-resistant E. faecalis

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12941-025-00790-y.

Additional file 1.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Dr. Nabil Ibrahim for his invaluable guidance in statistical analysis using SPSS. Thanks to Abdullah Abdelsattar for the insightful discussions.

Author contributions

Conceptualization TAH, and AE Methodology TAH, DA, MSF and BZ conducted a bioinformatics analysis. TAH and DA performed the ex vivo experiment. TAH and BZ created the figures and graphs. BB conducted phage genome sequencing. MG isolated the phage. TAH, BZ, and DA drafted the manuscript. Funding acquisition, supervision AE. All authors revised the draft.

Funding

Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB). This research was funded by the Academy of Scientific Research and Technology (ASRT), Scientists for Next Generation (SNG) Round 8 Scholarships.

Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary materials. The phage vB_EfaS_ZC1 is publicly accessible with an accession number PP271740 and taxon ID 311956 on NCBI.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Preferred Reporting Items for Laboratory Studies in Endodontology (PRILE) 2021 standards were followed in writing the paper for this laboratory study. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee (REC), Number 703/2023. Faculty of Dentistry, Suez Canal University, Ismailia, Egypt. Established according to "WHO-2011" standards (Date of approval: 3 October 2023).

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Center for Microbiology and Phage Therapy, Zewail City of Science and Technology, Giza 12578, Egypt. ²Microbiology and Immunology Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, October University for Modern Sciences and Arts (MSA), Giza 11787, Egypt. ³ESCMID Study Group on Biofilms (ESGB), Basel, Switzerland. ⁴Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Suez Canal University, 4.5 Ring Road, Ismailia 41522, Egypt. ⁵Department of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Sinai University, Kantara-Shark, Ismailia, Egypt. ⁶Vésale Bioscience, Vésale Pharmaceutica, 5310 Noville-Sur-Mehaigne, Belgium. ⁷Faculty of Environmental Agricultural Sciences, Arish University, Arish 45511, Egypt.

Received: 12 October 2024 Accepted: 7 March 2025 Published online: 13 April 2025

References

- Alghamdi F, Shakir M. The influence of *Enterococcus faecalis* as a dental root canal pathogen on endodontic treatment: a systematic review. Cureus. 2020;12(3): e7257.
- Haapasalo M, et al. Eradication of endodontic infection by instrumentation and irrigation solutions. Endod Top. 2005;10(1):77–102.

- van Harten RM, et al. Multidrug-resistant Enterococcal Infections: New compounds, novel antimicrobial therapies? Trends Microbiol. 2017;25(6):467–79.
- Ali, L., et al., Molecular Mechanism of Quorum-Sensing in Enterococcus faecalis: Its Role in Virulence and Therapeutic Approaches. Int J Mol Sci, 2017. 18(5).
- Hashem YA, Abdelrahman KA, Aziz RK. Phenotype-genotype correlations and distribution of key virulence factors in enterococcus faecalis isolated from patients with urinary tract infections. Infect Drug Resist. 2021;14:1713–23.
- 6. Miller WR, Munita JM, Arias CA. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in enterococci. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2014;12(10):1221–36.
- 7. Aslam B, et al. Antibiotic resistance: a rundown of a global crisis. Infect Drug Resist. 2018;11:1645–58.
- Emami, S., et al., Antibiotic resistance pattern and distribution of psIA gene among biofilm producing Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolated from waste water of a burn center. Jundishapur J Microbiol 2015. 8(11).
- 9. Wu Y, et al. Enhanced bacterial killing by vancomycin in staphylococcal biofilms disrupted by novel, DMMA-modified carbon dots depends on EPS production. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. 2020;193: 111114.
- 10. Vishwakarma A et al. Peptidomimetic polyurethanes inhibit bacterial biofilm formation and disrupt surface established biofilms. J Am Chem Soc, 2021.
- Georgiou AC, et al. Apical periodontitis is associated with elevated concentrations of inflammatory mediators in peripheral blood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Endod., 2019. 45(11): p. 1279–1295 e3.
- 12. Schaudinn C, et al. Periodontitis: an archetypical biofilm disease. J Am Dent Assoc. 2009;140(8):978–86.
- Siqueira JF, Jr, Rocas IN Present status and future directions: Microbiology of endodontic infections. Int Endod J., 2022. 55 Suppl 3: p. 512–530.
- 14. Pinheiro ET, et al. Microorganisms from canals of root-filled teeth with periapical lesions. Int Endod J. 2003;36(1):1–11.
- Pericás J, et al. Enterococcal endocarditis revisited. Future Microbiol. 2015;10(7):1215–40.
- Wang QQ, et al. Prevalence of Enterococcus faecalis in saliva and filled root canals of teeth associated with apical periodontitis. Int J Oral Sci. 2012;4(1):19–23.
- Shingare P, Chaugule V. Comparative evaluation of antimicrobial activity of miswak, propolis, sodium hypochlorite and saline as root canal irrigants by microbial culturing and quantification in chronically exposed primary teeth. Germs. 2011;1(1):12–21.
- Barbosa-Ribeiro M, et al. Effectiveness of calcium hydroxide-based intracanal medication on infectious/inflammatory contents in teeth with post-treatment apical periodontitis. Clin Oral Investig. 2019;23(6):2759–66.
- Mohammadi Z. Sodium hypochlorite in endodontics: an update review. Int Dent J. 2008;58(6):329–41.
- Bystrom A, Sundqvist G. The antibacterial action of sodium hypochlorite and EDTA in 60 cases of endodontic therapy. Int Endod J. 1985;18(1):35–40.
- 21. Haapasalo M, et al. Effects of dentin on the antimicrobial properties of endodontic medicaments. J Endod. 2007;33(8):917–25.
- Stuart CH, et al. Enterococcus faecalis: its role in root canal treatment failure and current concepts in retreatment. J Endod. 2006;32(2):93–8.
- 23. Wang Z, et al. The effect of detergents on the antibacterial activity of disinfecting solutions in dentin. J Endod. 2012;38(7):948–53.
- 24. Prestinaci F, Pezzotti P, Pantosti A. Antimicrobial resistance: a global multifaceted phenomenon. Pathogens Global Health. 2015;109(7):309–18.
- Liu S et al. Phages against Pathogenic Bacterial Biofilms and Biofilm-Based Infections: A Review. Pharmaceutics, 2022. 14(2).
- 26. Szafranski SP, Slots J, Stiesch M (2021) The human oral phageome. Periodontol 2000, 86(1): 79–96.
- Chung KM, Nang SC, Tang SS The Safety of Bacteriophages in Treatment of Diseases Caused by Multidrug-Resistant Bacteria. Pharmaceuticals (Basel), 2023. 16(10).
- 28. Drulis-Kawa Z, et al. Learning from bacteriophages advantages and limitations of phage and phage-encoded protein applications. Curr Protein Pept Sci. 2012;13(8):699–722.

- 29. Ly-Chatain MH. The factors affecting effectiveness of treatment in phages therapy. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:51.
- Hughes KA, Sutherland IW, Jones MV. Biofilm susceptibility to bacteriophage attack: the role of phage-borne polysaccharide depolymerase. Microbiology (Reading). 1998;144(Pt 11):3039–47.
- 31. Khalifa L, et al. Phage therapy against Enterococcus faecalis in dental root canals. J Oral Microbiol. 2016;8:32157.
- El-Telbany M et al. (2021) Bacteriophages to Control Multi-Drug Resistant Enterococcus faecalis Infection of Dental Root Canals. Microorganisms, 9(3).
- Khalifa L, et al. Targeting Enterococcus faecalis biofilms with phage therapy. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2015;81(8):2696–705.
- 34. Xiang Y, et al. Phage therapy for refractory periapical periodontitis caused by Enterococcus faecalis in vitro and in vivo. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2022;106(5–6):2121–31.
- Naeem MM et al. (2023) Effect of propolis on root dentine microhardness when used as an intracanal medicament: an in vitro study. J Funct Biomater, 14(3).
- Moryl M et al. (2022) Benefits and Challenges of the Use of Two Novel vB_Efa29212_2e and vB_Efa29212_3e Bacteriophages in Biocontrol of the Root Canal Enterococcus faecalis Infections. J Clin Med, 11(21).
- Turner D, et al. Abolishment of morphology-based taxa and change to binomial species names: 2022 taxonomy update of the ICTV bacterial viruses subcommittee. Arch Virol. 2023;168(2):74.
- Kouidhi B, et al. Antibiotic resistance and adhesion properties of oral Enterococci associated to dental caries. BMC Microbiol. 2011;11:1–7.
- Vineet R, et al. Isolation of root canal pathogens from primary endodontic infection and retreatment cases-a clinical comparative study. University J Dent Scie. 2016;2(1):06–10.
- Ricucci D, et al. Histologic investigation of root canal-treated teeth with apical periodontitis: a retrospective study from twenty-four patients. J Endodontics. 2009;35(4):493–502.
- Liu H, Nio S, Shen Y. Sodium hypochlorite against Enterococcus faecalis biofilm in dentinal tubules: effect of concentration, temperature, and exposure time. Odontology. 2024;112(2):390–8.
- Song F, et al. Characterization of an Enterococcus faecalis bacteriophage SFQ1 as a potential therapeutic agent. Front Microbiol. 2023;14:1210319.
- Strathdee SA, et al. Phage therapy: from biological mechanisms to future directions. Cell. 2023;186(1):17–31.
- 44. Zhang W, et al. Characterization of Enterococcus faecalis phage IME-EF1 and its endolysin. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(11): e80435.
- Lee D, et al. The novel enterococcus phage vB_EfaS_HEf13 has broad lytic activity against clinical isolates of Enterococcus faecalis. Front Microbiol. 2019;10:2877.
- Yang D et al. Characterization of a Lytic Bacteriophage vB_EfaS_PHB08 Harboring Endolysin Lys08 Against Enterococcus faecalis Biofilms. Microorganisms, 2020. 8(9).
- Cheng M, et al. The bacteriophage EF-P29 efficiently protects against lethal vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* and alleviates gut microbiota imbalance in a murine bacteremia model. Front Microbiol. 2017;8:837.
- Uskudar-Guclu A, Yalcin S A novel Enterococcus faecalis bacteriophage Ef212: biological and genomic features. Int Microbiol 2024: 1–11.
- Topka-Bielecka G et al. Characterization of the Bacteriophage vB_EfaS-271 Infecting Enterococcus faecalis. Int J Mol Sci, 2020. 21(17).
- El-Atrees DM, et al. Characterization and anti-biofilm activity of bacteriophages against urinary tract Enterococcus faecalis isolates. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):13048.
- Pazhouhnia S, Bouzari M, Arbabzadeh-Zavareh F. Isolation, characterization and complete genome analysis of a novel bacteriophage vB_EfaS-SRH2 against Enterococcus faecalis isolated from periodontitis patients. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):13268.
- 52. Baliga S, Muglikar S, Kale R. Salivary pH: a diagnostic biomarker. J Indian Soc Periodontol. 2013;17(4):461–5.
- El-Telbany M, et al. Potential application of phage vB_EfKS5 to control *Enterococcus faecalis* and its biofilm in food. AMB Express. 2023;13(1):130.
- Di Lallo G, et al. Analysis of four new *Enterococcus faecalis* phages and modeling of a hyaluronidase catalytic domain from Saphexavirus. Phage (New Rochelle). 2021;2(3):131–41.

- Xiang Y, et al. Phage therapy: A renewed approach against oral diseases caused by Enterococcus faecalis infections. Microbial Pathogenesis, 2024; 106574.
- 56. Wandro S, et al. Phage cocktails constrain the growth of Enterococcus. Msystems. 2022;7(4):e00019-22.
- Le, S., et al., Bacteriophage protein Dap1 regulates evasion of antiphage immunity and Pseudomonas aeruginosa virulence impacting phage therapy in mice. Nature Microbiology, 2024: p. 1–14.
- Parolia A et al. Effect of Propolis Nanoparticles against Enterococcus faecalis Biofilm in the Root Canal. Molecules, 2021. 26(3).
- McCallin S, et al. Safety analysis of a Russian phage cocktail: from metagenomic analysis to oral application in healthy human subjects. Virology. 2013;443(2):187–96.
- Sokoloff AV, et al. The interactions of peptides with the innate immune system studied with use of T7 phage peptide display. Mol Ther. 2000;2(2):131–9.
- 61. Sarker SA, et al. Oral phage therapy of acute bacterial diarrhea with two coliphage preparations: a randomized trial in children from Bangladesh. EBioMedicine. 2016;4:124–37.
- 62. Górski A, et al. Bacteriophage translocation. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2006;46(3):313–9.
- Majewska J, et al. Oral application of T4 phage induces weak antibody production in the gut and in the blood. Viruses. 2015;7(8):4783–99.
- 64. Górski A, et al. Phage as a modulator of immune responses: practical implications for phage therapy. Adv Virus Res. 2012;83:41–71.
- 65. Molander A, et al. A protocol for polymerase chain reaction detection of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium* from the root canal. Int Endod J. 2002;35(1):1–6.
- 66. Nasiri M, Hanifian S Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium in pasteurized milk: Prevalence, genotyping, and characterization of virulence traits. Lwt, 2022. 153.
- Kiruthiga A, et al. Improved detection of esp, hyl, asa1, gelE, cylA virulence genes among clinical isolates of Enterococci. BMC Res Notes. 2020;13(1):170.
- Vankerckhoven V, et al. Development of a multiplex PCR for the detection of asa1, gelE, cylA, esp, and hyl genes in enterococci and survey for virulence determinants among European hospital isolates of Enterococcus faecium. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42(10):4473–9.
- CLSI, M100: Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing, 32nd edition. 2022: Wayne, Pennsylvania: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Magiorakos AP, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18(3):268–81.
- Krumperman PH. Multiple antibiotic resistance indexing of Escherichia coli to identify high-risk sources of fecal contamination of foods. Appl Environ Microbiol. 1983;46(1):165–70.
- 72. Van Twest R, Kropinski AM. Bacteriophage enrichment from water and soil. Methods Mol Biol. 2009;501:15–21.
- 73. Elahi, Y., J. Nowroozi, and R.M.N. Fard, *Isolation and characterization of bacteriophages from wastewater sources on Enterococcus spp. isolated from clinical samples.* Iran J Microbiol., 2021. 13(5): p. 671–677.
- Mazzocco A, et al. Enumeration of bacteriophages by the direct plating plaque assay. Methods Mol Biol. 2009;501:77–80.
- Bonilla N, Barr JJ. Phage on tap: a quick and efficient protocol for the preparation of bacteriophage laboratory stocks. Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1838:37–46.
- Carlson K, Working with bacteriophages: common techniques and methodological approaches. Bacteriophages: biology and applications, 2005. 1: 437–494.
- Kutter E. Phage host range and efficiency of plating. Methods Mol Biol. 2009;501:141–9.
- Abdelsattar AS et al. Green Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles Using Ocimum basilicum L. and Hibiscus sabdariffa L. extracts and their antibacterial activity in combination with phage ZCSE6 and sensing properties. J Inorganic Organometallic Polymers Mater, 2022. 32(6): 1951–1965.
- Li M, et al. Isolation and characterization of novel lytic bacteriophages infecting epidemic Carbapenem-Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae strains. Front Microbiol. 2020;11:1554.

- 80. Merritt, J.H., D.E. Kadouri, and G.A. O'Toole, Growing and analyzing static biofilms. Curr Protocols Microbiol 2011. 22(1): 1B. 1.1–1B. 1.18.
- Woitschach F, et al. Bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation of Enterococcus faecalis on Zwitterionic Methylmethacrylat and Polysulfones. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12: 868338.
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to imagej: 25 years of image analysis. Nat Methods. 2012;9(7):671–5.
- Sambrook J et al. Chapter 2: Bacteriophage λ and its vectors, in Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. 2001.
- Davis JJ, et al. The PATRIC bioinformatics resource center: expanding data and analysis capabilities. Nucl Acids Res. 2020;48(D1):D606–12.
- 85. Aziz RK, et al. The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology. BMC Genom. 2008;9:75.
- Brettin T, et al. RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. Sci Rep. 2015;5:8365.
- McNair K, et al. Phage Genome Annotation Using the RAST Pipeline. Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1681:231–8.
- Chan PP, Lowe TM. tRNAscan-SE: searching for tRNA genes in genomic sequences. Methods Mol Biol. 2019;1962:1–14.
- Laslett D, Canback B. ARAGORN, a program to detect tRNA genes and tmRNA genes in nucleotide sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32(1):11–6.
- 90. Yukgehnaish K, et al. PhageLeads: rapid assessment of phage therapeutic suitability using an ensemble machine learning approach. Viruses. 2022;14(2):342.
- 91. Alcock BP, et al. CARD 2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Nucl Acids Res. 2020;48(D1):D517–25.
- Sharifi F, Ye Y. MyDGR: a server for identification and characterization of diversity-generating retroelements. Nucl Acids Res. 2019;47(W1);W289–94.
- Grant JR, et al. Proksee: in-depth characterization and visualization of bacterial genomes. Nucl Acids Res. 2023;51(W1):W484–92.
- 94. Vieira M et al. PhageDPO: Phage Depolymerase Finder. bioRxiv, 2023: 2023.02.24.529883.
- 95. Hallgren J et al. DeepTMHMM predicts alpha and beta transmembrane proteins using deep neural networks. bioRxiv, 2022.
- 96. Nishimura Y, et al. ViPTree: the viral proteomic tree server. Bioinformatics. 2017;33(15):2379–80.
- 97. Moraru C, Varsani A, Kropinski AM. VIRIDIC—A novel tool to calculate the intergenomic similarities of prokaryote-infecting viruses. Viruses. 2020;12(11):1268.
- 98. Dereeper, A., et al., Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the nonspecialist. Nucleic Acids Res, 2008. 36(Web Server issue): p. W465–9.
- 99. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32(5):1792–7.
- Guindon S, Gascuel O. A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large phylogenies by maximum likelihood. Syst Biol. 2003;52(5):696–704.
- Anisimova M, Gascuel O. Approximate likelihood-ratio test for branches: a fast, accurate, and powerful alternative. Syst Biol. 2006;55(4):539–52.
- 102. Chevenet F, et al. TreeDyn: towards dynamic graphics and annotations for analyses of trees. BMC Bioinformatics. 2006;7:439.
- Bankova V, Trusheva B, Popova M. Propolis extraction methods: a review. J Apic Res. 2021;60(5):734–43.
- Ramadan A, et al. Evaluation of the safety and antioxidant activities of Crocus sativus and Propolis ethanolic extracts. J Saudi Chem Soc. 2012;16(1):13–21.
- Al-Ani I, et al. Antimicrobial activities of European propolis collected from various geographic origins alone and in combination with antibiotics. Medicines. 2018;5(1):2.
- Ramata-Stunda, A., et al., Synergistic Effect of Polyphenol-Rich Complex of Plant and Green Propolis Extracts with Antibiotics against Respiratory Infections Causing Bacteria. Antibiotics (Basel), 2022. 11(2).
- Kulkarni V, et al. In-depth morphological evaluation of tooth anatomic lengths with root canal configurations using cone beam computed tomography in North American population. J Appl Oral Sci. 2020;28: e20190103.

- Faul F, et al. G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods. 2007;39(2):175–91.
- Nagarathinam S, et al. Effect of triple antibiotic loaded apatitic nanocarriers on Enterococcus faecalis biofilm–an In vitro study. J Drug Delivery Sci Technol. 2019;51:499–505.
- Parolia A, et al. Effectiveness of chitosan-propolis nanoparticle against Enterococcus faecalis biofilms in the root canal. BMC Oral Health. 2020;20(1):339.
- Faiek AM, et al. Evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy of chitosan as root canal irrigant on Enterococcus Faecalis. Al-Azhar Dental J Girls. 2019;6(4):437–43.
- Wu D, et al. Evaluation of the antibacterial efficacy of silver nanoparticles against Enterococcus faecalis biofilm. J Endodontics. 2014;40(2):285–90.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.